## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes	No	Notes
RL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	and the second s
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		×	
R Fı	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	Х		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	<u> </u>	У	CELEBRATIC STATE OF THE STATE O
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X	1	<del>- 1-2 (170 - 11 - 180 ) - 180</del>
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	9	X	And the section of the Physical State of the Section of the Sectio
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	The second secon